

CENTER FOR CLEAN AIR RESEARCH

The UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

#### **UW CCAR Scientific Advisory Committee Meeting**

September 26th & 27th 2011









#### Pollutant concentrations (PM<sub>2.5</sub>, ultrafine, NO<sub>2</sub>, VOCs) near major roadway (Highway 401 - Toronto, Aug 5, 2004)



Roadway proximity and left ventricular mass (LVM) in the Multi-ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) cohort

| distance to road (m)             | ΔLVMi<br>(g/m <sup>2</sup> [95% CI])                           | p-value                 |
|----------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| >150<br>101–150<br>50–100<br><50 | referent<br>1.9 (0.6, 3.2)<br>1.6 (0.4, 2.9)<br>2.3 (1.2, 3.4) | 0.003<br>0.01<br><0.001 |

Van Hee V. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2009;179:827-34.

## University of Washington Center for Clean Air Research (CCAR)

#### **Overall focus:**

the cardiovascular health effects of near-roadway pollution

#### What is near-roadway pollution?

a complex <u>mixture</u> of particle, vapor and gas phase components that vary by vehicle emission source, road surface, extent of physical aging and the type and degree of atmospheric processing and photochemical reactions

a cause of cardiovascular disease

**a prototypical case** for developing research approaches to dealing with <u>multi-pollutant</u> exposure-effect relationships

#### **CCAR** investigators

University of Washington

Lovelace Respiratory Research Institute

University of New Mexico

Washington State University

Sverre Vedal (director); <u>Tim Larson (deputy director);</u> Joel Kaufman; Lianne Sheppard; Paul Sampson; Adam Szpiro; Mike Yost; Chris Simpson; Mike Rosenfeld

Jake McDonald (deputy director); Amie Lund

Matt Campen

Tom Jobson; Tim VanReken



Center for Clean Air Research

## some background

- original PM Center
- MESA and MESA Air
- NPACT (with LRRI)
- NIEHS DISCOVER Center
- mobile monitoring studies in Vancouver, BC and Tacoma, WA
- WSU DOE grant using PTR-MS characterizing diesel exhaust

## CCAR projects & cores

Project 1 roadway exposure characterization

M Yost (PI), T Larson, C Simpson, T Jobson, T VanReken Project 2 exposure atmosphere generation

J McDonald (PI), A Lund, T Larson Project 3 toxicology

M Campen (PI), M Rosenfeld, A Lund, J McDonald

Project 4 human clinical studies

J Kaufman (PI)

Project 5 epidemiology cohort study

J Kaufman (PI), S Vedal

Project 6 multipollutant exposure modeling

L Sheppard (PI), A Szpiro, P Sampson

**Biostats Core** 

Admin Core



"more than the sum of its parts"

## CCAR administrative structure



## a heads up: switch of MESA cities



personal monitoring

#### **CCAR Science Advisory Committee**

# exposure and atmospheric science

toxicology

human clinical / epidemiology

Michael Brauer (UBC) Tom Peters (U Iowa) Barbara Turpin (Rutgers)

Ian Gilmour (EPA) Jake Lusis (UCLA) Sanjay Rajagopalan (Ohio State)

John Balmes (UCSF) - chair Nick Mills ( U Edinburgh) Arden Pope (BYU)

Brent Coull (Harvard)

biostatistics

## CCAR SAC agenda

#### Monday

- introductions
- individual project/core presentations & discussions

#### Tuesday

- SAC "quiet time"
- SAC feedback to CCAR investigators
- optional exposure facility tour

other items - lunch, washrooms, dinner



CENTER FOR CLEAN AIR RESEARCH

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

#### **EPA Clean Air Research Center Project 1:Exposure Mapping –**

#### Characterization of Gases and Particles for Exposure Assessment in Health Effects and Laboratory Studies

Lead Investigator: Michael Yost, UW Co-Investigators: Tim Larson, Chris Simpson, UW Tom Jobson, Tim VanReken, WSU

- (1) Characterize multi-pollutant spatial gradients in four MESA cities
  - Mobile monitoring in four cities over two seasons
    - **x** St. Paul, Baltimore, Los Angeles, Winston-Salem
    - heating & non-heating season focus on TRAP\*; examine possible confounding by wood smoke/biomass component
  - Concurrent fixed site monitoring (active and passive)
    - **×** Provides fixed real-time data to complement mobile platform
    - **×** Provides 2-week time-average spatial surfaces complements MESA data
- (2) Characterize near-source downwind aging of traffic related air pollutants
  - (2a) Physical (and chemical?) aging using mobile platform
    - **•** Changes in gas phase components ( $O_3 NO_{x}$ , HC) and particle size distribution
  - (2b) Chemical aging using laboratory mixtures
- (3) Provide detailed laboratory characterization of diluted and aged engine exhaust mixtures available for toxicology testing

## **Mobile Platform - Approach**

- Based on prior studies completed in Vancouver BC and Tacoma WA
- Capture multi-pollutant components in evening commute
  - Spatially-resolved ~30-sec avg of pollutant components
  - Distributional properties of components at "fuzzy points"
  - Uses concurrent real-time data at a fixed-site to capture temporal variations
- Sampling scheme
  - $\circ \sim 10$  sampling days per season (two week periods)
  - Heating & non-heating to capture major seasonal changes
  - Divide each city into 3 sectors & fixed routes based on participant locations and prior MESA sample data
  - Establish ~15 fuzz points per route (43 spatial locations)
  - Randomize route and travel direction for each sample

## **Mobile Platform**

- Use Escape hybrid vehicle in all cities
  - $\,\circ\,$  Sample inlet attached to roof rack; matched to ~25 mph speed
  - Instrument package; samples drawn from common manifold
  - Data vector: 10-sec moving avg for all components, + position



### Sample manifold detail



#### **Mobile Platform Instruments**

| Instrument                       | Measurement                      | Mfg.                   |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Nephelometer                     | PM 2.5 (scatter)                 | Radiance               |
| PAS 2000CE                       | Particle PAHs                    | EcoChem                |
| PTRAK w Diffusion Screens        | Particles >30 nm                 | TSI                    |
| micro-Aethalometer AE52          | Particle Black Carbon            | Magee Scientific       |
| Aerosol Spec. 1.109              | Particles 0.25-32um (32 bins)    | GRIMM                  |
| Aerosol NanoCheck 1.320          | Particles 25-300 nm (count/size) | GRIMM                  |
| Ozone 3.02 P-A                   | O <sub>3</sub> (H-C insensitive) | Optec                  |
| NO 410                           | NO                               | <b>2B</b> Technologies |
| NO 410& NO2 Converter            | NO <sub>2</sub>                  | <b>2B</b> Technologies |
| Langan CO Monitor T15N           | CO (ppb)                         | Langan                 |
| CO Sensor EC100 Sensor           | CO                               | CO2Meter.com           |
| PPB-PID                          | Ioniziable Hydrocarbons          | Photovac               |
| K30-1%-Fast Response CO2 Monitor | CO <sub>2</sub>                  | CO2Meter.com           |
| Integrated GPS Logger            | Position & speed                 | GlobalSat              |

Measurement Vector: 50 parameters (6 gas, 40 particle)

#### Pre pilot testing, August 2011





UW CCAR Project 1\_Prepilot\_Tape 1 of 3\_081111.wmv

#### Pre-pilot testing: time series data



#### **Pre-Pilot: Spatial data overlay**



# **Mobile Platform Analysis**

## Traffic Intersections as "Fuzzy Points"

- Measure pollutant marker (e.g. σ<sub>ap</sub>) at selected traffic intersections during peak afternoon traffic period
- Trace a cloverleaf / figure 8 at each intersection (~5-8 minutes)
- Determine the distribution of 30-sec values within each fuzzy point



Adjusted reading =  $\frac{\text{Observed 30 - sec average from mobile platform}}{30 - \min \text{ moving average from fixed site}}$ 

#### **Fuzzy Points - Detail Maps**



#### PM2.5 mass (estimate)



#### St. Paul MESA sites



## **St Paul NO<sub>x</sub> Surface**



#### **St Paul Route Selection**





## **Passive Sampling at Fixed Sites**

- Same four MESA cities
- ~43 sites per city
- 2-wk averages
- 2 seasons (heating, non-heating)
- Located at "fuzzy sites"
- Near MESA subject residences
- Compare with exposure laboratory characterization



### **Proposed Sampling Schedule**

| Activity                | <b>Study Period</b> | Location      |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| Pre-Pilot Testing       | Aug, 2011           | Seattle       |
| Pilot Testing           | October, 2011       | Seattle       |
| Field Sampling          | Nov 28, 2011        | St. Paul      |
| Field Sampling          | Jan, 2012           | Baltimore     |
| Lab Characterization I  | April, 2012         | Albuquerque   |
| Field Sampling          | June, 2012          | Baltimore     |
| Field Sampling          | Aug, 2012           | St Paul       |
| Lab Characterization II | Oct, 2012           | Seattle       |
| Field Sampling          | Jan, 2013           | Winston-Salem |
| Field Sampling          | Feb, 2013           | Los Angeles   |
| Field Sampling          | June, 2013          | Winston-Salem |
| Field Sampling          | Aug, 2013           | Los Angeles   |

# Observed properties of the "fuzzy" points (one observation per site)

All sites



#### LUR Model Predictions of $50^{\text{th}}$ Percentile Values Vancouver, BC c.v. $R^2 \sim 0.7$



FIGURE 4. Map depicting land use regression model for median  $\sigma_{ap}$ , as reported in Table 1.

Larson T.V., Henderson, S.B., Brauer, M. (2009) Mobile Monitoring of Particle Light Absorption Coefficient in an Urban Area as a Basis for Land Use Regression *Environmental Science and Technology* 43(13), 4672-4678.

#### Repeated Sampling improves long-term estimate

Observed median values at each intersection over repeated days in summer and winter in Tacoma, WA



Objective 2

#### Park et al (in preparation, 2011)

# Improved LUR model $\sigma_{ap}$ Predictive Model



## Multivariate data reveals sources

Principal Component Analysis with subsequent **Varimax** rotation produced three independent, identifiable factors in Tacoma that are a combination of the original variables.

|                 | Factor 1 | Factor 2 | Factor 3 |
|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|
| b <sub>sp</sub> | 0.92     | 0.18     | 0.36     |
| PAH             | 0.17     | 0.96     | 0.23     |
| b <sub>ap</sub> | 0.42     | 0.29     | 0.86     |

Woodsmoke

mobile sources

**PAH peaks** 



# Factor 1 scores are relatively low in the afternoon in high traffic areas...

Summer

Winter

to your . . . .

-0.194243 - 0.109354 0.109355 - 0.494095 0.494096 - 0.494095 0.494096 - 22.263162 Major Roads


# ...and relatively high at night in residential areas in winter.

Summer

Winter

1(winter day) -2.622049 - 0.194244 -0.194243 - 0.109354 0.109355 - 0.494095 0.494096 - 0.494095 0.494096 - 22.263162 Maior Roads



# Factor 3 is relatively high near the busy freeway in the afternoon..

Summer

Winter



# ...and also has high values near high traffic areas at night.

Summer

Winter





### Characterization of Controlled Laboratory Exposure Atmospheres

### • Washington State University

- Proton Transfer Reaction Mass Spectrometer
- High Resolution Aerosol Mass Spectrometer

### • University of Washington

- Mobile platform instruments
- Passive samplers

### • LRRI

• Gas and aerosol measurements (discussed in project 2 presentation)

### WSU Proton Transfer Reaction Mass Spectrometer



Measurement principle  $H_3O^+ + R \rightarrow RH^+ + H_2O$ 

Full mass scans or multiple ion monitoring.

High time resolution possible. Typical ambient operation scan for 30 ions in 1 minute.

Quantitative VOC det. limits ~ 50 pptv.

Two sample modes, alternate between 1.VOC Mode:

Formaldehyde Acetaldehyde BTEX compounds Others ...

2.IVOC mode:

thermal desorption based sampling for heavier organics emitted in diesel engine exhaust. long chain alkanes monocyclic aromatics polycyclic aromatics



### Vehicle Exhaust Emissions - field measurements results from WSU PTR-MS

### 1. Formaldehdye

air toxic

Boise, Idaho: winter HCHO emission rate from vehicles = 0.3% CO emission rate. *Are off-network emissions from vehicles important sources of urban air toxics? Compare to EPA MOVES emission model* 

### 2. Diesel exhaust gas phase organics

Sacramento, CA : Are diesel exhaust emissions precursors for secondary organic aerosols?





### WSU High Resolution Aerosol Mass Spectrometer



### Measurement principle:

- •Particles 50 < Dp < 1000 nm are efficiently concentrated by an aerodynamic lens.
- •Particles are sized according to travel time between chopper and vaporization region.
- •'Non-refractive': Only material that volatizes below ~600 C is measured.
- •Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometer allows high resolution mass spectral data.
- •Complex fragmentation patterns- chemical patterns can be identified but organic speciation is not possible.

- Analysis of ambient data typically involves lumping fragments into major compositional categories:
  - Organics, sulfate, nitrate, etc.
- Mass classification can be binned by size or integrated.
- With PMF analysis, the organics category can be further divided.



### Source Characterization with the AMS



De Carlo et al. 2006

## Comparing Laboratory and Field Measurements

### Laboratory Profiles

- Mobile platform instruments
- AMS results
- Passive hydrocarbon measurements

### Mobile and Fixed Site Features

- Mobile platform suite
- Similar fixed site platform suite
- Fixed site passive hydrocarbon measurements at fuzzy sites
- Comparison metrics (lab vs. lab, lab vs. field)
  - Simple ratios
  - Multivariate features

## **Project 1: Summary/Objectives**

- (1) Characterize multi-pollutant spatial gradients in four MESA cities
  O Mobile monitoring in four cities over two seasons
- (2) Characterize near-source downwind aging of TRAP\*
  O Physical and chemical aging using mobile & fixed platforms
- (3) Provide detailed laboratory characterization of diluted and aged engine exhaust mixtures available for toxicology testing
  - Detailed characterization of test atmospheres; linkage with field data



CENTER FOR CLEAN AIR RESEARCH



#### UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

# **Project 2:** Simulated Roadway Exposure Atmospheres for Laboratory Animal and Human Studies

Jake McDonald, LRRI Amie Lund, LRRI Tim Larson, UW





- Simulate ambient exposures in the laboratory
  - Bridge these exposures to ambient measurements/modeling (Project 1)
- Compare toxicity of exposures
  - Use these results to determine mechanisms (Project 3) and to define priorities and atmospheres for human exposures (Project 4)

## **Conceptual Paradigm: Exposures**





Lovelace

# Lovelace

### Hypothesis:

1. Motor vehicle emissions toxicity decreases when transformed in the atmosphere.

2. Background air and non-exhaust roadway emissions (road surface dust, tire and brake wear material, inorganic ions, metals, and ozone) do not contribute significantly to roadway-associated cardiovascular morbidity

## **Key Research Questions**

- Lovelace
- Does agglomeration and physical transformation of particulate motor vehicle emissions alter their toxicity (does size matter)?
- Does chemical transformation, and formation of secondary organic aerosol from motor vehicle emission precursors, enhance or diminish the toxicity of roadway atmospheres?
- Do ozone and other background co-pollutants alter or exacerbate the toxicity of motor vehicle emissions?
- Does road dust, a significant non-tailpipe roadway emission, confer any cardiovascular toxicity that may confound associations with tailpipe emissions?





- Aim 1: Develop and characterize laboratorygenerated exposure atmospheres simulating the key components of near-roadway exposures, including transformed emissions and coexposures.
- Aim 2: Conduct inhalation exposures of laboratory animals.
- Aim 3: Conduct inhalation exposures of human subjects.

### Methodology



- Laboratory generated simulated atmospheres
  - Gasoline + Diesel
    - Physical and/or Chemical Transformation
  - Urban air simulated mixture (O<sub>3</sub>, Inorganic Ions, Road dust)
  - Paved Road Dust (non-motor vehicle roadway emission)
- Detailed Characterization (complements Project 1 Ambient Measurements)
  - Particle size, number, mass, composition
  - Gas composition

# We study both gasoline and diesel engine emissions combined.....



Lovelace

### **Aim 1: Develop Atmospheres**











### **Diesel and Gasoline Contributions**



|                                 | <u>Diesel</u> | <u>Gasoline</u> |  |
|---------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|--|
| <b>Dilution factor</b>          | 10            | 10              |  |
| Total mass (mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | 84            | 116             |  |
| Particles                       |               |                 |  |
| Mass (µg/m³)                    | 1005          | 60              |  |
| Number (10 <sup>6</sup> /cc)    | 1.0           | 0.5             |  |
| Size (MMAD, µm)                 | 0.15          | 0.15            |  |
| %OC                             | 22            | 19              |  |
| %EC                             | 64            | 47              |  |
| %sulfate                        | 6             | 21              |  |
| %nitrate                        | 4             | 0.8             |  |
| %ammonium                       | 4             | 12              |  |
| %elements (ash)                 | 0.1           | 0.9             |  |
| Gases & Vapors                  |               |                 |  |
| CO (ppm)                        | 30            | 80              |  |
| NO (ppm)                        | 45            | 18              |  |
| NO <sub>2</sub> (ppm)           | 4             | 1               |  |
| SO <sub>2</sub> (ppm)           | 0.4           | 0.6             |  |
| THC (ppm)                       | 2             | 12              |  |

### NERC EXPOSURE ATMOSPHERES BY MASS

(Less CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, and CH<sub>4</sub>)





Lovelace

## **Combining Motor Vehicle Atmospheres**



Lovelace

### **Irradiation Chamber**





### **Secondary Organic Aerosol**



Lovelace

## Methodology



- Physical Aging of Mve
  - We will alternate dilution/operating conditions to attempt to modify particle size
- Paved Road Dust:
  - Composite of dust collected in multiple US Regions
- Urban Air Simulation:
  - Based on measurements in field campaigns (Project 1)





|                  | Primary<br>(Fresh) | Aged | Chemically<br>Transformed |
|------------------|--------------------|------|---------------------------|
| MVE              | +                  | -    | -                         |
| MVE              | -                  | +    | -                         |
| MVE              | -                  | -    | +                         |
| Urban Background | -                  | -    | -                         |
| Urban Background | +                  | -    | -                         |
| Paved Road Dust  | -                  | -    | -                         |
| Paved Road Dust  | +                  | -    | -                         |



- Range finding studies with Mve to determine optimal dose, strain, operating conditions.
  - Dose-response at 30, 100, 300  $\mu g/m^3$
  - Evaluation of diesel/gasoline ratios (3:1, 10:1)
  - Characterization of mouse strain response
- Test and evaluation for atmosphere development (e.g., physical aging conditions)
- System integration with Irradiation Chamber
- Coordination with Project 1 for characterization/atmosphere design



CENTER FOR CLEAN AIR RESEARCH

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

# Project 3:Cardiovascular Consequences of Immune Modification by Traffic-Related Emissions

Michael Rosenfeld - UW Matthew Campen - UNM Amie Lund – LRRI Jake McDonald- LRRI

#### **Exogenous Toxicants**





Infectious Pathogens

We hypothesize that emissions-induced oxidation of endogenous phospholipids, presumably in the pulmonary surfactant, can stimulate the activity of <u>immune and vascular cells</u> through pattern recognition receptors and in turn <u>promote the</u> <u>leukocytic invasion of existing vascular lesions</u>.

We will test this hypothesis concomitantly with investigations as to the relative potency of roadway-related pollutants, including mixed vehicular emissions and road dust.



Comparison of lipid peroxidation effects from diesel, gasoline, and combined (MVE) emissions at various concentrations. Aortas were obtained from apoE-/- mice following 50 days of exposure. Asterisks indicate significant difference from control (P<0.01 by ANOVA with Bonferroni Posthoc Comparison).

### Aim 1: To ascertain the potentiating effects of physical and photochemical aging on fresh mixed vehicular emissions, in terms of driving pulmonary and vascular oxidative stress.

Hyperlipidemic mice will be exposed to various ratios and doses of combined diesel and gasoline emissions that will be modified by physical and

photochemical aging, and also produced in combination with re-suspended road dust and a modeled urban background (with Project 2).



Aim 2: To examine effects of the emissionsinduced oxidative modifications to endogenous phospholipids on the activation of immunemodulating receptors LOX-1, CD-36, TLR-2, and TLR-4.

This Aim will utilize receptor-deficient mouse models to examine the roles of these receptors, as well as characterize the lipidomic alterations in various tissues.






Specific outcome measures will include:

Products of lipid peroxidation (TBARS, HETES) and the oxidative alterations to endogenous PLs in the lung and isolated surfactant, serum, and aorta, using a lipidomic approach (LC-MS).

Serum IgG and IgM that bind ox-PLs generated under controlled conditions *in vitro*.

Histopathology of lungs and blood vessels

Receptor mediated signal transduction in isolated alveolar macrophages

#### Aim 3: To further explore the role of immune cell populations as participants in the innate and adaptive responses to emissions-induced phospholipid modifications.

In this Aim, we will utilize a mouse model of immunodeficiency, the severe combined immune deficiency (SCID) mouse lacking T and B cells. Additionally, we will pursue bone-marrow transplants from control mice and mice lacking the pattern recognition receptors described in Aim 2 into SCID mice to establish the involvement of the receptors specifically in leukocytes. Outcome measures as in aim 2.

#### Pilot Study Comparing Apo E-/- and LDLR-/-Mice.

Duration of Exposure = 7 days, 7AM-1PM

Concentrations of mixed exhaust = 100 ug PM/m<sup>3</sup> (30 ug PM/m3 from gasoline exhaust; 70 ug PM/m<sup>3</sup> from diesel engine exhaust).

Groups included both chow fed and high fat fed male mice. High fat diet was fed for about 3 weeks before exposures began.

Apo E-/- mice were ~10-11 weeks of age and LDLR-/- mice were ~16 weeks of age at beginning of exposure.

Outcome measures include: Aortic TBARS, MMP activity (zymography), and MOMA-2 (macrophage) staining.

#### Chamber 4 Exposure

|         | Average PM | Average NO <sub>x</sub> | Average CO |
|---------|------------|-------------------------|------------|
| Day     | (µg/m3)    | (ppm)                   | (ppm)      |
| 1       | 99         | 19.646                  | 101.6      |
| 2       | 102        | 20.350                  | 95.7       |
| 3       | 158        | 24.898                  | 100.9      |
| 4       | 114        | 26.700                  | 102.8      |
| 5       | 86         | 25.162                  | 100.6      |
| 6       | 93         | 21.268                  | 101.9      |
| 7       | 92         | 20.172                  | 100.8      |
|         |            |                         |            |
| Average | 106        | 22.599                  | 100.6      |

#### Chamber 7 Control

| Day     | Average PM<br>(µg/m3) | Average NO <sub>x</sub><br>(ppm) | Average CO<br>(ppm) |
|---------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1       | 37                    | 0.073                            | 0.3                 |
| 2       | 9                     | 0.064                            | 0.2                 |
| 3       | 5                     | 0.070                            | 0.6                 |
| 4       | 7                     | 0.022                            | 0.1                 |
| 5       | 10                    | 0.271                            | 0.5                 |
| 6       | 4                     | 0.146                            | 0.2                 |
| 7       | 3                     | 0.120                            | 0.0                 |
|         |                       |                                  |                     |
| Average | 11                    | 0.109                            | 0.3                 |



CENTER FOR CLEAN AIR RESEARCH

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

## Project 4: Vascular Response to Traffic-derived Pollutants in Humans

Joel Kaufman September 26, 2011

#### Human Controlled Exposure Study

- 2 hr of test environment or FA
- Monitor blood pressure
- Serial blood collection measurements
  - Plasma and serum markers
  - Gene expression in circulating cells
  - DNA methylation in circulating cells
- Brachial artery dimensions and FMD
- Evaluate effects of genotypic difference
- Evaluate effects of pharmacologic intervention

## Northlake Exposure Facility



#### Human Controlled Exposure Study

- 2 hrs at specified concentrations of pollutants or FA
- Monitor BP
- Serial blood draws
- Serial brachial artery dimensions and FMD





# Change in Brachial Artery Diameter



#### Vasoconstriction with Diesel Exhaust Inhalation

A. Changes in brachial artery diameter (BAd) following exposures to  $200\mu g/m^3$  DE or FA; lines represent mean  $\Delta$  BAd (pre-to-post) at each exposure level.

B. Dose-response relationship of diesel exhaust effect on brachial artery diameter. Bars show mean and 95% confidence interval for vasoconstrictive effect for two study sub-populations and overall group. Wide confidence intervals for healthy group reflect small sample size and not higher variance.

### DE and Plasma Endothelin-1

|                                 | F               | A               | 200 µ           | g/m <sup>3</sup> DE |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Biomarker                       | Preexposure     | Postexposure    | Preexposure     | Postexposure        |
| ET-1                            |                 |                 |                 |                     |
| Healthy $(n = 6)$               | 1.47 ± 0.21     | 1.35 ± 0.27     | $0.86 \pm 0.06$ | 1.62 ± 0.18         |
| Metabolic syndrome ( $n = 16$ ) | 1.35 ± 0.17     | 1.41 ± 0.15     | 1.31 ± 0.18     | 1.62 ± 0.18         |
| All participants ( $n = 22$ )   | $1.38 \pm 0.13$ | $1.39 \pm 0.13$ | $1.19 \pm 0.14$ | 1.62 ± 0.13         |



Graphic: Fold-change in log-transformed plasma endothelin-1 levels from preexposure to 3 hr from initiating exposure to 200  $\mu$ g/m3 DE or FA. \*p = 0.01 Table: Mean values in pg/ml

Peretz et al, EHP 2008



#### **DE Impact on Blood Pressure**

| Minutes from<br>Exposure Start | 5    | 30   | 60   | 90   | 120  | 180  | 300  | 420  | 1320 | Model: mid-<br>exposure,<br>pooled (30-90<br>min.) | Model: Post-<br>Exposure, pooled<br>(180-1320 min.) |
|--------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--|---|
| DE Effect Estimate,<br>mmHg    | 1.0  | 3.8  | 4.8  | 3.1  | 1.7  | 2.0  | 1.7  | 2.6  | 2.5  | 3.9  | 2.1   |
| P-value, raw                   | 0.58 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.38 | 0.35 | 0.48 | 0.20 | 0.29 | -  | -   |
| P-value, adjusted              |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 0.01   | 0.15  |

#### N=48

Adjusted model includes adjustment for gender, AGTR1 genotype, perception of exposure (DE vs. FA), metabolic syndrome, first visit to facility

### **Ongoing Work**

- Analysis by genotypic strata
- Analysis of impact of anti-oxidant cocktail
- Gene expression in peripheral leukocytes
- Epigenetic characterization
- Next genotype stratified trial about to launch
  From DISCOVER Center portfolio

#### **Project 4 Plans**

- Launch in CCAR Year 3 (follows experiment now beginning)
- Aims
  - 1. TRAP related to vasoconstriction, ET-1, SBP
    - a) Higher potency (from Project 2 and 3) with greater effects than lower potency TRAP, compared to FA
    - b) Genotype stratified trial (increased response with polymorphism in ALOX15, a SNP identified in ongoing work in MESA Air)
  - 2. TRAP will result in evidence of lipid peroxidation, pro-atherogenic gene transcription, and pro-atherogenic epigenetic changes
    - a) Plasma oxLDL, malondialdehdye, anti-phospholipid Ab
    - b) Monocytes with increased mRNA of HMOX1, GCLC, PPARα
    - c) Attenuation with  $\alpha$ -lipoic acid, compared to placebo
    - d) Increased methylation of promotor site of FOXP3

#### Human Controlled Exposure Study

- Crossover Design (target n = 24)
- Years 3-5 of Center
- Exposures Chosen Based on Project 3 results
- Project 2 Provides Exposure Generation and Characterization Leadership
- Randomized with regard to order, blocked
  - FA / Placebo
  - FA / Pharmacologic Agent
  - Traffic Pollution Low Toxicity/ Placebo
  - Traffic Pollution High Toxicity / Placebo
  - Traffic Pollution High Toxicity / Pharmacologic Agent
- Genotype-Stratified Trial

#### Hypotheses to be tested

|                         | Lipoic Acid | Placebo |
|-------------------------|-------------|---------|
| High Potency Atmosphere | Α           | В       |
| Low Potency Atmosphere  |             | С       |
| Filtered Air            | D           | E       |

- Allowing for changes based on scientific advances
- Aim 1
  - 1A: SBP (at one hour of exposure vs. before exposure) in session B to that found in both exposures C and E.
  - 1B: SBP in session B to exposure E, and test for effect of interaction term with number of G alleles at rs2664593.

#### Hypotheses to be tested

#### • Aim 2

|                         | Lipoic Acid | Placebo |
|-------------------------|-------------|---------|
| High Potency Atmosphere | Α           | В       |
| Low Potency Atmosphere  |             | С       |
| Filtered Air            | D           | E       |

#### 2A, 2B, 2D: compare in B to E

- ▼ MDA (3 hours post exposure initiation vs. before ),
- anti-phospholipid antibodies (next day vs. before exposure)
- × plasma oxidized LDL (next day vs. before exposure) in session B to E.
- monocyte mRNA concentrations (3 hrs vs before) [2B]
- × % monocyte DNA FOXP3 regions hypermethylated
- If different, then is C different from either B or E?

## • 2c

 change in fold-differences in monocyte mRNA PPARα concentrations (3 hrs vs before) between A and D compared to the change between B and E.

#### Human Controlled Exposure Study

- Crossover Design (target n = 24)
- Years 3-5 of Center
- Exposures Chosen Based on Project 3 results
- Project 2 Provides Exposure Generation and Characterization Leadership
- Randomized to order, blocked
  - FA / Placebo
  - o FA / Pharmacologic Agent
  - Traffic Pollution Low Toxicity/ Placebo
  - Traffic Pollution High Toxicity / Placebo
  - Traffic Pollution High Toxicity / Pharmacologic Agent
- Genotype-Stratified Trial



CENTER FOR CLEAN AIR RESEARCH

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

## Project 5:

# Effects of long-term exposure to TRAP on subclinical measures of CVD in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis

Joel Kaufman, Sverre Vedal September 26, 2011

# Background: The Multi-Ethnic Study of Air Pollution (MESA Air)

- 1. To prospectively examine the relation between an individual assessment of long-term air pollution exposures and the progression of subclinical CVD
- 2. To assess individual-level exposure to specific particulate and gaseous ambient-derived air pollutants
- 3. To assess the relation between individual assessments of long-term air pollution exposures and incidence of CVD events, including MI and CVD mortality



### Our Approach in MESA Air

 Pair state-of-the-art cardiovascular epidemiology with state-of-the-art exposure estimation

Unusual dedication of resources

 Encourage extensive collaborations and promote opportunities for ancillary studies
 MESA Air as research platform

## State-of-the Art Epidemiology

- Multi-city (providing exposure heterogeneity)
- ~7,000 ppts, 45-84
  yrs old, CVD-free at baseline
- Multi-ethnic sampling strategy (Caucasian, African-American, Hispanic, Chinese-American)



### **Primary Subclinical Outcomes**

- Coronary Artery Calcium (CAC)
- Carotid Artery Intima Medial Thickness (IMT)





# Adjudicated clinical

## <u>events</u>

- Myocardial Infarction
- Stroke/TIA
- Congestive Heart Failure
- Coronary Revascularization
  - O PTCA
  - O CABG
- Angina
- Peripheral Vascular Disease
- Cardiovascular Death

## Extensive interviews

- Medical History
- Medications
- Personal History
- Family History
- Health and Life
- Physical Activity
- Diet
- Neighborhood Characteristics
- Residential History
- Sleep History
- Cognitive Assessment

## **Other Measures and Specimens**

- Anthropometry
- Resting Blood Pressure
- Ankle/Brachial Blood Pressure Index
- ECG
- Spirometry (MESA Lung)
- Cardiac MRI
- Retinal Photography (MESA Eye)
- Quantitative Lung CTs (MESA Lung)

- Urine Collection
- Blood Collection
- Genomics/Epigenomics
  - MESA Family
  - Candidate Genes
  - O CARe
  - O SHARe
  - DNA methylation
  - Gene Expression

## Between-City Exposure Heterogeneity

#### **Exposure Characteristics of Communities**

|                         | Alhambra | Coastal LA<br>County* | Rubidoux/<br>Riverside <sup>*</sup> | St. Paul | Chicago | Manhattan/<br>Bronx | Rockland<br>County <sup>*</sup> | Baltimore | Winston-<br>Salem |
|-------------------------|----------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|---------|---------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| PM2.5                   | Н        | М                     | VH                                  | L        | М       | М                   | L                               | М         | М                 |
| PM10                    | Н        | М                     | VH                                  | М        | Н       | Н                   | М                               | М         | L                 |
| C0                      | Н        | М                     | Н                                   | М        | М       | Н                   | L                               | L         | М                 |
| NO2                     | Н        | Н                     | VH                                  | L        | М       | Н                   | L                               | L         | L                 |
| Ozone                   | Н        | М                     | VH                                  | L        | М       | М                   | М                               | Н         | VH                |
| SO2                     | L        | L                     | L                                   | М        | М       | Н                   | Н                               | М         | М                 |
| Urban contribution      | +        | +                     | +                                   | -        | +       | +                   | -                               | +         | -                 |
| Long-Range<br>Transport | -        | -                     | -                                   | +        | +       | +                   | +                               | +         | +                 |

L=Low, M=Medium, H=High, VH=Very High

e.g., for  $PM_{2.5}$ : L= (~12 µg/m<sup>3</sup>), M= (~16 µg/m<sup>3</sup>), H = (~20µg/m<sup>3</sup>), VH= (~24 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) annual averages

Blue = Areas of New Recruitment for MESA Air



#### **Focus Area**





- MESA Air Participants
- Home Monitoring Sites
- X Community Co-pollutant Monitors
- Fixed Monitoring Sites
- 😙 Field Center
- EPA Monitoring Sites
- ----- Primary Interstate highways
- ------ Primary US and State highways
- ------ Secondary State and County highways
  - Local, neighborhood, rural streets

All monitoring data are as of December 17, 2007. Road network data are as of April 1, 2006. Participant information provided by the Collaborative Health Studies Coordinating Center.





Universal Transverse Mexator Zone 18 (NAD 83) () 2.5 5 10 15 20 Kilometers

Northwestern University Study Area Chicago, Illinois










## CCAR Project 5

- <u>Aim 1:</u> To build a multi-pollutant exposure model for traffic-derived air pollutants for use in epidemiological analysis
- <u>Aim 2:</u> To develop and validate individual-level exposure estimates for traffic-derived air pollutants, including a determination of the effect of time in transit
- <u>Aim 3:</u> To estimate the effect of individual-level exposure to traffic-derived air pollution on subclinical cardiovascular disease in MESA Air

Aim 1: Generate individual-level predictions of outdoor pollutant levels

- Predictions of long-term average multi-pollutant concentration fields achieved by combining the predictions from two seasonal co-kriging models in each city: Baltimore, Winston-Salem, St. Paul, Los Angeles
- Predictions of the impact of traffic patterns and roadway class on pollutant concentrations

## Aim 2: Understand in-vehicle exposures

- Recruit 144 participants from Winston-Salem and LA to participate in 2 two-week sampling events
  - Indoor, outdoor, personal, and in-vehicle monitoring
  - $\circ$  NO<sub>X</sub>, NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, and a suite of up to 11 VOCs



GPS data-logging devices to track location

## Aim 2, continued

- Information learned from the in-vehicle monitoring study will be applied to all participants, including VOC infiltration efficiency and the relationships between:
  - total personal exposure and in-vehicle exposure
  - o reported time-in-transit and measured time-in-transit

 To understand the relation between exposure to TRAP and changes in CAC and IMT over 10 years, assessed via CT and ultrasound





 To understand the relation between exposure to TRAP and change in left ventricular myocardial mass over 10 years, assessed via MRI





Change in LVMI (g/m<sup>2</sup>, 95% CI bars) Assoc. w/ Proximity to Major Roads\*



\*Adjusted for gender, age, race, hypertension, diabetes, education, income, smoking and pack-years smoking history, second-hand smoke exposure, weekly alcohol intake, LDL cholesterol, anti-hypertensive Rx, lipid-lowering Rx, site, and kriged PM<sub>2.5</sub> level in 2000. p=0.005 for 'within 50m' association.

 To understand the relation between exposure to TRAP and changes in arteriolar diameters over 8 years, assessed via retinal photography





- To explore the impact of exposure to traffic-derived air pollutants on DNA methylation
  - Hypothesis generating
  - Whole-genome epigenetic analysis using a vector of DNA methylation profiles as the outcome
- Due to changes within MESA, participants in Los Angeles are no longer part of the main MESA epigenetics protocol

# MESA Air is adding a modified epigenetics protocol at UCLA

- Participants in this region (and especially the new recruits in Riverside LA) experience some of the highest and most heterogeneous concentrations of air pollutants in the MESA Air cohort
- Approved ancillary study modification to allow for the collection, processing, and storage of additional blood samples from ~150 participants in LA



CENTER FOR CLEAN AIR RESEARCH

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

## **Biostatistics Core**

PI: Lianne Sheppard Adam Szpiro Paul D Sampson

#### **Biostatistics Core Objectives**

- 1. Advise Center projects on data management and compilation
- 2. Ensure quality statistical design and analysis of Center research
- 3. Implement novel statistical methods that are required for Center projects (Project 6)
- 4. Identify additional statistical research that will advance Center projects
- 5. Communicate and disseminate Center findings

#### 1. Advise Center projects on data management and compilation

- Provide advice on: (i) Database design, (ii) Forms design, (iii) Data entry support, (iv) Data quality review, (v) Data storage and back-up, (vi)Documentation
- Due to resource limitations, the role of the Biostat Core in database management and compilation will be advisory. We will exploit established MESA Air and other ancillary study infrastructure for needed support for these activities.

# 2. Ensure quality statistical design and analysis of Center research

- Fundamental Core activity conducted at weekly meetings of Core faculty and staff. Consultation addresses:
  - o (i) Study design,
  - o (ii) Sample size calculations,
  - o (iii) Data collection,
  - o (iv) Statistical analysis plan (SAP) development,
  - o (v) Statistical analysis,
  - o (vi) Interpretation of study results.

#### **Statistical Analysis Plan Template**

- Working Title:
- Overview/Purpose:
- <u>General Scientific Question(s); Specific Scientific Question(s) (e.g. hypotheses):</u>
- <u>Outcomes</u> of Interest; <u>Predictors</u> of Interest; Potential <u>Confounders</u> or Adjustment Variables:
- Other Data Specifics (e.g. time period, subgroup):
- Data request (date, number):
- Type of Analysis: Hypothesis testing Estimation
  - Hypothesis screening Modeling
  - Hypothesis generating/exploratory Method evaluation
  - Descriptive
- Analysis Approach and Special Issues:
- List of Tables: (or note location of draft tables)
- Responsibilities and deadlines:
  - Paper outline
  - Initial analyses
  - Introduction
  - Methods
  - Results
  - Discussion
  - Tables and Figures
  - Follow up analyses
  - Final Draft
- Names and roles (authors, co-authors, Data Core staff):
- Revision History:

3. Implement novel statistical methods that are required for Center projects

- Incorporating multi-pollutant spatial data from fixed and mobile monitoring in multivariate spatial modeling for exposure prediction
- Modeling disease outcomes with multi-pollutant exposures; computing scientifically meaningful RRs and other effect estimates for air pollutant mixtures
- Multi-pollutant measurement error correction in disease modeling

#### [These points addressed further by Adam Szpiro.]

# 4. Identify additional statistical methodological research that will advance Center projects

- Active participation of statisticians enables identification of scientific problems that would benefit from innovative approaches to statistical analysis
- Two-way interaction:
  - => Center scientists providing motivating applications and questions for methods research
  - <= Center scientists responding to Biostat Core suggested enhancements in statistical design and analysis for Center-sponsored research.
- Additional methodological research may not be funded through CCAR, but
  - o CCAR will provide platform for methodology research proposals, and
  - Methodological research may provide a focus for cross-center collaboration.

Sampson CCAR 2011

#### 5. Communicate and disseminate Center findings

- Biostat core will bring to bear the most *current perspectives* from the fields of statistics and biostatistics on the *interpretation and communication* of statistical analyses
- Examples from CCAR research can be featured in statistical papers that a) provide new methodological development, or b) demonstrate methods implementation.
- Core will:
  - Support manscript preparation and review
  - Foster understanding of more complex statistical models and methods
  - Engage statisticians in air pollution research.

# Summary: General and Specific Connections with other CCAR Projects

The objectives of the Biostatistics Core comprise:

- (a) "Routine" consulting and collaboration with all projects on topics of data management, analysis, and report writing
- (b) "Out-of-the-box" methodological development (objectives 3, 4), including, for example,
  - i. Consultation on the mobile monitoring study design and sample size (project 1)
  - ii. Multivariate characterization of the pollutant compositions that distinguish fresh and aged atmospheres (projects 1, 2, 3 and 4)
  - iii. Strategies for multi-pollutant exposure modeling and health analyses (project 5)



CENTER FOR CLEAN AIR RESEARCH

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

## Strategy for Multi-Pollutant Exposure Modeling and Health Analysis "Project 6"

## UW CCAR Scientific Advisor Committee 26 September 2011

## Objective

- An integrated statistical methodology for analyzing multi-pollutant health effects in cohort studies
- Connections with other CCAR projects
  - Project 1, Objective 1: Characterize spatial and temporal gradients of selected air pollutants along roadways and within neighborhoods in MESA cities using a mobile platform
  - Project 1, Objective 2: Measure spatial variation in concentrations of selected air pollutants at two-week average fixed sites in coordination with the mobile measurements
  - Project 5, Objective 1: Develop city-wide exposure surfaces for trafficderived air pollution components for each of four study cities
  - Project 5, Objective 3: Assess the effect of individual-level exposure to traffic-related air pollution, including on-roadway exposures, on vascular outcomes, including left ventricular mass and retinal arteriolar diameter, and epigenetic phenomena in a cohort of over 4,000 MESA Air participants

Szpiro CCAR 2011

### **Statistical Challenges**

- As much as possible, we will extend our methods from single-pollutant studies
- Challenges specific to (this) multi-pollutant setting
  - Exposure prediction for multiple correlated pollutants based on data from complex spatio-temporal monitoring campaign
  - Formulation and interpretation of multi-pollutant health effect quantities of interest
  - Accounting for different types and magnitudes of measurement error between pollutants

### **Statistical Challenges**

- As much as possible, we will extend our methods from single-pollutant studies
- Challenges specific to (this) multi-pollutant setting
  - Exposure prediction for multiple correlated pollutants based on data from complex spatio-temporal monitoring campaign
  - Formulation and interpretation of multi-pollutant health effect quantities of interest
  - Accounting for different types and magnitudes of measurement error between pollutants

#### MESA Air and AQS Monitoring Data

• Air pollution monitoring data at approximately 200 locations in each city with irregular spatio-temporal pattern



- Some data collected by EPA AQS for regulatory purposes
- Additional study-specific







Process noise + measurement error

Szpiro CCAR 2011

Szpiro et al. Environmetrics (2010), Sampson et al. Atmos. Env. (2011)

### Spatio-Temporal Modeling in CCAR

- MESA Air spatio-temporal model successfully applied to NOx, NO<sub>2</sub>, and PM<sub>2.5</sub>
  - Uses several fixed sites (AQS and study-specific) to create backbone for spatially varying temporal trend model
  - Allows flexible adjustment for seasonality in home monitoring ans snapshot data (irregular in space and time)
- Direct application in CCAR not feasible
  - Extension to multiple pollutants challenging (at least!)
  - Multiple long-term fixed site monitors not available for most pollutants

## Removing Seasonality in CCAR

- Primary approach
  - Time trend from single fixed site deployed concurrently with mobile monitoring
- Extension
  - Spatially varying time trends from spatio-temporal predictions of NOx, PM, and other pollutants with sufficiently rich monitoring data

#### **Multi-Pollutant Spatial Prediction**

- Don't observed actual exposures X<sub>ik</sub>
- Monitoring data  $X_{ik}^*$  for pollutant k at location  $s_i^*$ 
  - There may be some missing data, but for the most part all pollutants measured at all locations
- Use combination of land-use regression and spatial smoothing to predict unobserved X<sub>ik</sub>
- Two main differences from single-pollutant
  - Want to borrow information between pollutants
  - Want to avoid measurement-error induced confounding

## Option 1: Full-Rank Prediction (Co-Kriging)

- Model each pollutant as linear in r geographic covariates with spatially correlated residuals
  - $R_k(s): \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^r$  defines the covariate basis for pollutant k
  - $\circ X_{ik}^* = R_k(s_i^*)\gamma_k + \eta_{ik}^*$
  - $\circ X_{ik} = R_k(s_i)\gamma_k + \eta_{ik}$
  - $\eta_{ik}^*$ ,  $\eta_{ik}$  are correlated spatial random effects with a co-kriging, e.g., linear model of coregionalization, structure (many variance parameters)
  - Predict  $\hat{X}_{ik}$  as conditional expectation, with ML parameter estimates
- Advantages
  - Allows complex inter-pollutant correlation that induces borrowing of information
  - o "Natural" extension of (now) standard universal kriging methods
- Disadvantages
  - Computationally very challenging
- Possibilities of measurement error confounding not clear Szpiro CCAR 2011

## **Option 2: Low-Rank Prediction**

- Model each pollutant as linear in r geographic covariates with qdimensional regression splines for spatial smoothing
  - $R_k(s): \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^{q+r}$  defines the spline/covariate basis for pollutant k
  - $\circ X_{ik}^* = R_k(s_i^*)\gamma_k + \eta_{ik}^*, \ \eta_{ik}^* \text{ iid}$
  - $\circ X_{ik} = R_k(s_i)\gamma_k + \eta_{ik}, \ \eta_{ik} \text{ iid}$
  - o Jointly estimate the  $\gamma_k$  from monitoring data by (penalized) OLS/WLS
  - Predict  $\hat{X}_{ik} = R_k(s_i)\hat{\gamma}_k$
- Advantages
  - Computationally tractable
  - Preliminary results suggest appropriate choice of  $R_k(s)$  avoids measurement error induced confounding
- Disadvantages
  - Not clear how to borrow information between pollutants

#### Selecting Land-Use Covariates

- Regardless of spatial smoothing technique, land-use covariates are central to prediction
  - Hundreds of covariates available, including traffic density, emissions, population density, land-use, NDVI, etc.
- Variable selection/dimension reduction an especially big challenge for multiple pollutants
  - Process really needs to be automated
  - Not immediately clear whether to use the same covariates for all pollutants

### Selecting Land-Use Covariates

- Two approaches we have used for single pollutant models appear promising because they are computationally tractable and automated
  - Partial least squares (similar to principal components)<sup>†</sup>
  - Lasso followed by exhaustive search of candidate models for varying levels of penalty parameter<sup>‡</sup>
- Optimize predictions for best health effect inference rather than just exposure prediction accuracy<sup>\*</sup>

## **Statistical Challenges**

- As much as possible, we will extend our methods from single-pollutant studies
- Challenges specific to (this) multi-pollutant setting
  - Exposure prediction for multiple correlated pollutants based on data from complex spatio-temporal monitoring campaign
  - Formulation and interpretation of multi-pollutant health effect quantities of interest
  - Accounting for different types and magnitudes of measurement error between pollutants

#### Reducing Dimensionality of the Disease Model: A Promising New Paradigm

- General disease model not practical
  - $E(Y_i|X_{i1}, ..., X_{iK}) = \psi \left( \beta_0 + \sum_{k=1}^K \beta_k X_{ik} + interactions \right)$
  - Expect correlations between pollutant concentrations
  - Too many main effect and interaction coefficients to estimate or interpret
    - Leaving out interactions would likely miss important features of health effects
- Alternative paradigm
  - Estimate effect of different *atmospheric mixtures*, rather than individual pollutant health effects
  - Operationalize this by characterizing the interesting atmospheres with a small number of *eigenpollutants*

### **Dimension Reduction Steps**

- Step 1: Predict the full set of multi-pollutant concentrations at subject locations  $\hat{X}_{ik}$  for k = 1, ..., K
- Step 2: Perform principal component analysis on the  $\hat{X}_{ik}$ and keep the first *P* (say *P* = 2) eigenpollutants  $\hat{X}'_{ip}$
- Step 3: Fit reduced dimension version of disease model with all interactions (linear here for simplicity)

• 
$$E(Y_i|X'_{i1},X'_{i2}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X'_{i1} + \beta_2 X'_{i2} + \beta_{12} X'_{i1} X'_{i2}$$

 Step 4: Compare atmospheres of interest by approximating them using eigenpollutants

• Compare atmosphere 2 to 1:  $(\alpha_{j1}, ..., \alpha_{jK}) \Rightarrow (\alpha'_{j1}, \alpha'_{j2}) j = 1,2$ 

•  $RR_{21} \approx \hat{\beta}_1(\alpha'_{21} - \alpha'_{11}) + \hat{\beta}_2(\alpha'_{22} - \alpha'_{12}) + \hat{\beta}_{12}(\alpha'_{21}\alpha'_{22} - \alpha'_{12}\alpha'_{12})$ 

Szpim CCAR 2011

#### **Alternative Strategies**

- How to reduce dimension of pollutants to span the interesting range of atmospheres
  - PCA is just a tool, and it may not be the best one
  - Could use PMF, but don't need to interpret in terms of sources
  - Linear model of co-regionalization effectively does PCA (although we also want to capture variability that is predictable with covariates)
- Could do dimension reduction on the monitoring data, before multi-pollutant exposure prediction
  - Can exploit additional mobile monitoring data collected en-route
#### Comments

- The goal is to evaluate relative health effects of different pollutant mixtures, not to interpret the individual effect estimates
- Can make policy relevant statements about what would happen if regulations were modified that resulted in some expected change in the aggregate mixture/levels of various pollutants
- This approach will be useful to the extent that the range of possible/interesting atmospheres can be represented by a small number of eigenpollutants

## **Statistical Challenges**

- As much as possible, we will extend our methods from single-pollutant studies
- Challenges specific to (this) multi-pollutant setting
  - Exposure prediction for multiple correlated pollutants based on data from complex spatio-temporal monitoring campaign
  - Formulation and interpretation of multi-pollutant health effect quantities of interest
    - Accounting for different types and magnitudes of measurement error between pollutants

### Single Pollutant Measurement Error

Two components to the measurement error<sup>†</sup>

$$U = X - \hat{X}$$
  
=  $X - E(X|X^*; \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\theta}_{\eta})$   
=  $[X - E(X|X^*; \gamma, \theta_{\eta})] + [E(X|X^*; \gamma, \theta_{\eta}) - E(X|X^*; \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\theta}_{\eta})]$   
=  $U_{BL} + U_{CL}$ 

- "Berkson-like" component  $(U_{BL})$  from smoothing (inflates standard errors)
- "Classical-like" component  $(U_{CL})$  from parameter estimation (introduces bias and inflates standard errors)
- Parametric bootstrap effective at correcting bias and variance (parameter bootstrap an efficient approximation)

#### **Multi-Pollutant Measurement Error Correction**

- Parametric bootstrap generalize to multi-pollutant case, at least in principle
  - Need to believe assumptions about correlated spatial random effects are plausible (linear model of coregionalization)
  - Differences in magnitude and type of measurement error can transfer effects between pollutants – parametric bootstrap could miss this
    - How much does this matter if we are comparing atmospheric mixtures?
- Alternative paradigm emphasizes fixed exposure surface that is not fully predictable
  - No random effect
  - More consistent conceptually with low-rank prediction
  - Non-parametric bootstrap (and possibly some analytic calculations) can replace parametric bootstrap

# Summary

- Reduce spatio-temporal mobile monitoring data to purely spatial by removing temporal trends
  - Single time series or use spatio-temporal results from other pollutants
- Multi-pollutant spatial prediction modeling
  - Land-use regression + co-kriging or low-rank splines
  - Take care to avoid measurement error induced confounding
- Reduce dimension of pollutant space
  PCA or similar
- Make inference about comparison of different atmospheric mixtures, rather than contribution of individual pollutants
- Correct for exposure measurement error